Case 3:16-mdro234174 Ca Pocurgent 1877 Eiled p3/14/27t Page & Ptal2r 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 IN RE: ROUNDUP 3) PRODUCTS LIABILITY) MDL No. 2741 4 LITIGATION)) Case No. 5 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES) 16-md-02741-VC TO ALL CASES) 6 7 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2017 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 8 9 10 Videotaped deposition of Donna 11 Farmer, Ph.D., Volume I, held at the offices 12 of HUSCH BLACKWELL, L.L.C., 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri, 13 commencing at 9:04 a.m., on the above date, 14 before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered 15 16 Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime 17 Reporter, Illinois, California & Texas 18 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Missouri & 19 Kansas Certified Court Reporter. 20 21 GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 22 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com 23 24 25

Case 3:16-mdf0274114Ca Pocument 1877 Eiledp3/14/27t Page 3pf12r

your file, and I have a copy for you and a 1 2 copy for counsel. 3 You've seen this before, 4 haven't you, ma'am? 5 MR. JOHNSTON: Give her a 6 second to look at it. 7 MR. MILLER: Of course. QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 8 9 Take your time. Have you seen 0. it before? Take your time. 10 MR. JOHNSTON: You didn't 11 12 really give her a second to look at it. 13 MR. MILLER: Who's being 14 argumentative? QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 15 16 Let me know when you're ready. Ο. 17 All right, ma'am. Now this is 18 a document, a copy of an e-mail, sent by you, 19 right, ma'am? Donna Farmer? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. And it was sent by you Ο. 22 on September 21, 2009, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And it's concerning Roundup, 0. 25 right?

Case 3:16-mdf0274174 Pocument 1877 Elledp3614617 Page & Ptal2r		
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. And in that you say this: "You	
3	cannot say that Roundup does not cause	
4	cancer. We have not done the carcinogenicity	
5	studies with Roundup."	
6	Did I read that correctly?	
7	A. Yes, you did read that	
8	correctly.	
9	But I want to point out that I	
10	should have in other e-mails that I have	
11	done is that what we talk about is while we	
12	have not done carcinogenicity with Roundup	
13	per se, we have data on glyphosate. We don't	
14	believe the surfactants they are not	
15	carcinogenic.	
16	So normally what I would say is	
17	that when you put those two together, even	
18	though we haven't done these carcinogenicity	
19	studies, that there is no evidence that	
20	Roundup would be carcinogenic.	
21	Q. I want to read what you said	
22	before the lawsuit was filed.	
23	You said, "You cannot say that	
24	Roundup does not cause cancerwe have not	
25	done carcinogenicity studies."	

Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 187-7 Filed 03/14/17 Page 4 of 12

Message		
From:	FARMER, DONNA R [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=180070]	
Sent:	9/21/2009 5:12:07 PM	
To:	COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] [
Subject:	RE: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald	

I didn't find anything on the Australian site either ...however take this question 5. It is not Roundup that is taken up it is glyphosate. It stops the synthesis of 3 amino acids (they are used to make proteins) and this "process" is also found in microbes and fungi.

5. How does Roundup work? Roundup is taken up through the leaves and moves in the sap flow throughout the plant. It stops the production of proteins so that the plant starves. This process is found only in plants; Roundup has extremely low toxicity to humans and wildlife.

Or this - you cannot say that Roundup does not cause cancer..we have not done carcinogenicity studies with "Roundup".

2. Will Roundup harm my family or me? Based on the results of short term and long term testing, it can be concluded that Roundup poses no danger to human health when used according to label directions. In long term exposure studies of animals, Roundup did not cause cancer, birth defects or adverse reproductive changes at dose levels far in excess of likely exposure.

I will follow up with the Monsanto folks who interface with Scotts...they are aware that Scotts does these things.

Donna

----Original Message----From: COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 11:07 AM To: FARMER, DONNA R [AG/1000] Subject: RE: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald

I did not find any reference on their main (US) page to "biodegradable."

----Original Message-----From: FARMER, DONNA R [AG/1000] Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 11:06 AM To: COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] Subject: RE: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald

Did you find the link? This is to their Q&A and I can tell you they have a number of things that a not acceptable. http://www.scottsaustralia.com.au/FAQs/Roundup

----Original Message----From: COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 8:11 AM To: PERSON, JANICE L [AG/1030]; FARMER, DONNA R [AG/1000]; HELSCHER, THOMAS M [AG/1000] Subject: Fw: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald

Janice and Donna,

Here's the Australian thread, to the latest message.

John

----- Original Message -----From: LEADER, MICHAEL [AG/5020] To: ANDERSON, NEIL J [AG/5020]; MCNAUGHTON, HONI JANINE [AG/5020]; MCGREGOR, JOHN [AG/5020]; HELSCHER, THOMAS M [AG/1000]

Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 187-7 Filed 03/14/17 Page 5 of 12

Cc: MCLEAN, KERYN [AG/5020]; TAYLOR, IAN N [AG/5020]; ARMSTRONG, JANICE M [AG/5340]; COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] Sent: Mon Sep 21 00:08:56 2009 Subject: RE: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald

Thanks Neil. Honi has already have pointed out the flaws in the studies, but there can't be any harm in doing so again. Studies on the safety of Roundup is a good approach, but I believe there are also some on glyphosate's benefits for the environment (even if the surfactant is not biodegradable). It's a shame the Scott's guy is blaming us too!!

Cheers

Michael

Michael Leader

Corporate and Regulatory Affairs Lead, Australia/New Zealand



<http://www.monsanto.com.au/>

From: ANDERSON, NEIL J [AG/5020] Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 12:39 PM To: MCNAUGHTON, HONI JANINE [AG/5020]; MCGREGOR, JOHN [AG/5020]; HELSCHER, THOMAS M [AG/1000] Cc: LEADER, MICHAEL [AG/5020]; MCLEAN, KERYN [AG/5020]; TAYLOR, IAN N [AG/5020]; ARMSTRONG, JANICE M [AG/5340]; COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] Subject: RE: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald

Hi Honi

The reporter has printed the correct information that "Glyphosate is biodegradable but the surfactant is not". However, then she goes into a sensationalism mode quoting "studies" that suggest Roundup is not safe, which is probably derived from her interview of the Fremantle activist. I feel the response to FH needs to reiterate that her statement on biodegradability is correct, reiterate that Roundup is safe (and provide references), and if there are flaws in any of the studies quoted, point out these flaws.

Neil Anderson QA & Formulations Lead, Asia Pacific Monsanto Australia Ltd Mobile phone: International 61409 382905; Australia 0409 382905 From: MCNAUGHTON, HONI JANINE [AG/5020] Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 10:56 AM To: MCGREGOR, JOHN [AG/5020]; ANDERSON, NEIL J [AG/5020]; HELSCHER, THOMAS M [AG/1000] Cc: LEADER, MICHAEL [AG/5020]; MCLEAN, KERYN [AG/5020]; TAYLOR, IAN N [AG/5020]; ARMSTRONG, JANICE M [AG/5340]; COMBEST, JOHN C [AG/1000] Subject: Roundup article in Fremantle Herald Importance: High

Hi John and Neil

Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 187-7 Filed 03/14/17 Page 6 of 12

The article in question has appeared in the Fremantle Herald as expected.

We need to think about our response. Possible suggestions:

- · Letter from Scott's to the FH reiterating the correct information
 - Letter from Monsanto to FH reiterating the safety of Roundup, etc

We may also need to compose a letter to all of Scott's Roundup customers (in WA) dismissing the allegations in the article. FH has a circulation of 20,000. However, the FTO concern is here in WA during this critical time.

. Keryn: You may want to contact DAFWA and other stakeholders as well as growers to explain what we plan to do.

· Ian: GSWG letter reiterating the safety of glyphosate from Steve Powles

Any actions and responses will need to be cleared with the US.

We will need to have a phone call about this including Scotts.

Please let me know your thoughts. I think you'll agree we need to jump on this.

Honi

Honi McNaughton Public Affairs Manager

<u>Monsanto Au</u>stralia



<nttp://www.monsanto.com.au/>

Monsanto Twitter: http://www.twitter.com/monsantoco <http://www.twitter.com/monsantoco>

Monsanto's Blog: Monsanto According to Monsanto http://www.monsantoblog.com/>

Monsanto For the Record: http://www.monsanto.com/monsanto_today/for_the_record/default.asp <http://www.monsanto.com/monsanto_today/for_the_record/default.asp>

Case 3:16-mdro2341.20a Pocument 1877 Eiled p3/14/27t Page 3 Paler

1 observed adverse effects on health and the 2 environment. Since it is an important 3 objective to use environmentally safe and 4 less toxic products, the polyoxyethylene 5 tallowamine surfactants were replaced at 6 least in some Monsanto products by others." 7 Was that true? Did you replace 8 some of the Roundup products in Europe and 9 stop using POA there? I think you need to kind of go 10 Α. 11 to the next sentence. 12 Ο. Sure. 13 It fits in with what Mark said, Α. 14 the company, to say: My opinion was this formulation was fine, but the company then 15 16 stated this decision was mainly based on eye 17 irritation potential and the aquatic toxicity 18 related to the formerly used substances. 19 We know that poly -- the POEA 20 can be irritating to the eyes. It's 21 reversible and not permanent. And because it 22 is a surfactant, it can have toxicity to 23 aquatic organisms. 24 And to follow up on this from Ο. 25 1999, just recently Europe has banned POEA in

Case 3:16-994-0274174 Ca Pocursent 1877 Eiled p20462t Page 8 Paler

the near future, right? 1 2 MR. JOHNSTON: Objection. 3 Vague. 4 Go ahead. 5 THE WITNESS: Based on a 6 political decision, not on a 7 toxicology position. 8 POEA is still used in the US 9 and in Canada, completely approved and 10 supported. 11 In my opinion and many other 12 people's, that that was a political 13 decision, not a safety decision. 14 QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 15 Ο. The answer is, yes, POEA will 16 be off the market in Europe soon? 17 It will be off the market in Α. 18 Europe based on a political decision, not on a safety decision. 19 20 Well, let's look at the Q. 21 decision to ban POEA in the European market. 22 (Farmer Exhibit 1-12 marked for 23 identification.) 24 **OUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER:** 25 We'll mark as Exhibit 1:12 a Ο.

Case 3:16 - 914 - 9274 + 1272 + 202 - 202 + 20

1 European Commission fact sheet and ask if 2 you've seen a copy of this. I have a copy 3 for you and counsel. 4 You've seen this before, 5 haven't you, ma'am? 6 Α. I don't remember seeing this 7 exact document, but I am aware of the 8 discussions. 9 Ο. Let's go then to page 2 of this document where it says, "What is the final 10 11 decision?" 12 "The commission adopted the 13 extension of the current approval for 14 glyphosate in a limited period until the 15 European Chemical Agency has concluded its 16 review." 17 Do you see that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Okay. "In parallel to the 20 extension of the approval, the Commission has 21 already presented Member States a series of 22 recommendations on the use of glyphosate. 23 Discussions with the Member States have started at an expert level, and the 24 25 Commission will work to have them adopted as

Case 3:16-md- p_{2741n} / G_a Pocument 182-7 Filed $p_{3/14/1}$ - P_{2741n} + P

The decision will contain 1 soon as possible. 2 three clear recommendations: Number 1, ban a co-formulant called POE-tallowamine from 3 4 glyphosate-based products, " right? 5 That's what it says there. Α. 6 Ο. And that's the POEA we've been 7 talking about, right? 8 Α. Yes, it is. 9 And the other recommendation is Ο. 10 "minimize the use of the substance in public 11 parks, public playgrounds and gardens," 12 right? 13 Α. That's what it says there, yes. 14 "Minimize the pre-harvest use Ο. 15 of glyphosate, " right? 16 Α. Yes, that's what it says there. 17 Ο. Okay. And you're --18 Α. But, again -- I'm sorry. 19 Ο. No, go ahead. I didn't mean to 20 cut you off. 21 Α. Again, I want to point out that 22 nowhere in here it talks about the safety of 23 POEA and that they are fully approved in US 24 and Canada. And this is a political 25 decision.

Case 3:16-md- p_{2741n} Case 3:16-md- p_{274 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 IN RE: ROUNDUP) PRODUCTS LIABILITY) MDL No. 2741 4 LITIGATION)) Case No. 5 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES) 16-md-02741-VC TO ALL CASES) 6 7 THURSDAY, JANUARY 12, 2017 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 8 9 10 Videotaped deposition of Donna Farmer, Ph.D., Volume II, held at the offices 11 12 of HUSCH BLACKWELL, L.L.C., 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri, 13 commencing at 9:07 a.m., on the above date, 14 before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered 15 16 Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime 17 Reporter, Illinois, California & Texas 18 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Missouri & 19 Kansas Certified Court Reporter. 20 21 GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 22 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com 23 24 25

Case 3:16-md-22741-VGaPocument-182-7 Filed 23/14/27 Fige 13-01-27

1	ingredient in the formulated product.
2	And then as we talked about
3	yesterday, there are other substances called
4	inerts. The two major inerts that we find in
5	glyphosate-based formulations are a
6	surfactant, which is like a soapy-like
7	substance, and then water, a lot of water.
8	Q. So glyphosate-marketed products
9	contain glyphosate, water and some sort of
10	surfactant usually?
11	A. The majority, yes.
12	Q. And we call those formulated
13	products?
14	A. Formulated products.
15	Q. Okay. And you reference the
16	term "inert ingredients."
17	Can you tell me what that
18	means?
19	A. Inert ingredients are other
20	ingredients put in a pesticide formulation.
21	It doesn't mean that they are inert. They
22	have biological activity, but they don't
23	provide a pesticidal activity.
24	So those you have your
25	active ingredient and your inert ingredients